

1401 i Street NW 11th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Phone 202-326-8891 Fax 202-408-8763



July 17, 2000

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Salas:

RE: Numbering Resource Optimization-CC Docket No. 99-200/

Enclosed please find an original and eleven (11) copies of the above-referenced Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification to be filed with the Secretary of the Commission on Monday, July 17, 2000. Additional copies of the pleadings are attached to be used as the courtesy copies and one copy included for your files.

Thank you for your assistance.

ope Thursott

Very truly yours,

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9 List ABCDE

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

R	E	C	E		V	E	D
---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---

In the Matter of)	JUL 1 7 2000		
Number Resource Optimization)	CC Docket No. 9902 00 COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY		

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND CLARIFICATION

SBC Communications Inc., on behalf of its subsidiaries and itself, (collectively referred to as "SBC") requests the Commission reconsider certain matters relating to its Report and Order¹ in this proceeding which, if allowed to remain unmodified, will have a significant adverse impact not only on carriers, but the customers they serve. Specifically, the limitation of 45 days on reserve numbers fails to reflect the needs of customers and is unsupported by the existing record as a whole. Moreover, the Commission's requirement which prohibits carriers from assigning numbers from unopened blocks until "all available" numbers are used further hinders the ability of carriers to meet customer needs. Compounding the dilemma faced by carriers and customers is the utilization calculation adopted by the Commission; this calculation should properly reflect those resources unavailable for assignment by the carrier, including official and intermediate numbers, by including such numbers in both the numerator and the denominator.

SBC also seeks further clarification as to the three-prong test to be met by state authorities in order to receive authorization for number pooling. In meeting the second prong of this standard, the Commission should clarify that, in proving that an NPA has a life span of at

¹ Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, *In the Matter of Numbering Resource Optimization*, CC Docket No. 99-200, released March 31, 2000 (Report and Order).

least one year, the state authority must rely on actual demand. Furthermore, the Commission should clarify the relationship between federal and state cost recovery in relation to costs incurred for number pooling. The resolution of these matters will further the Commission's goal of conserving the limited resource of available numbers while minimizing the deleterious impact on carriers and their customers.

I. The Commission's adoption of a 45-day limitation for reserve numbers is unsupported by the record

The Commission has adopted a 45-day reservation period, stating that this limitation "reasonably balances the needs of carriers to earmark and set aside a number or groups of numbers for a particular customer against the objective of improving the efficiency of numbering resource use." This action was taken by the Commission with recognition of the North American Numbering Council (NANC) recommendation, which was based on a comprehensive review, that carriers be permitted to reserve numbers for twelve months with an additional six months of possible extensions. The support on the record for the Commission's adoption of the 45-day period is minimal.

Indeed, the record as a whole supports the rejection of this period, not its endorsement.

Numerous parties agreed with the time frame recommended by NANC.³ Moreover, several commenting parties suggested a lengthier period to be appropriate.⁴

² Report and Order, ¶ 23.

³ See, e.g., Comments of MCI World Com, pp. 36-37; Bell Atlantic Comments, p. 6; Ameritech Reply Comments, p. 10 and USTA Reply Comments, p. 20.

⁴ See, Comments of Winstar at page 53 which suggests a two-year reserve period with a one-year extension and the Comments of GTE at page 15 which suggests an 18 to 24 month period is required in certain competitive bid situations.

In addition, many commenting parties argued against the Commission setting any time limitation for reserve numbers. These parties contended that the determination of an appropriate time period for reserve numbers should be best left to NANC.⁵

Those only parties who produced evidence of the customer impact of the Commission's 45-day restriction were carriers who strongly opposed this limitation Since it is these entities who deal on a daily basis with customers requiring numbering resources, this data should have been given significant weight in assessing the appropriate action to be taken by the Commission. Customers must reserve numbers to avoid disruption to operations and to meet growth plans. The impact of the 45-day limitation is to require a customer who has reserved numbers to either activate these numbers in advance of its projected need or risk losing these numbers to other customers. In either case, the customer is harmed as a result of the Commission's dictates. These concerns must not be summarily dismissed. The few commenting parties who endorsed the Commission's proposed 45-day limitation did not supply any evidence in support of the appropriateness of the 45-day time period.⁶ In fact, none of these commenting parties addressed the impact of such a restriction on customers holding or requiring reserve numbers. Because of the significant adverse effect this rule will have on these customers, a time restriction pulled virtually "out-of-the-air" cannot be deemed a "reasonable balance" without the support of the record as a whole.

⁵ See, e.g., ALTS Comments, pp. 5-6; SBC Communications Inc Reply Comments, p. 4; USTA Reply Comments, p. 20.

⁶ See, e.g., Comments of New York Department of Public Service, p. 6 footnote 1; Comments of North Carolina Utilities Commission, p. 4.

Under these circumstances, the Commission should either adopt the NANC recommendation, recognizing the comprehensive analysis on which it is based, or reopen the record to solicit evidence as to a supportable time restriction.⁷

II. The Commission should permit carriers the flexibility of opening a new number block prior to the assignment of all available numbers from the preceding block.

The Commission has adopted what it terms as a "flexible" requirement which mandates that carriers first assign "all available" telephone numbers within an open thousands-block before opening a new block, unless the available numbers are not sufficient to meet a customer request.⁸ This requirement pertains to existing thousands-blocks as well as those opened in the future. A carrier that opens a clean block must be able to demonstrate to a state commission that it has a genuine customer request for telephone numbers which it is unable to fill from the "surplus of numbers" within the carrier's activated thousands-block.⁹ Yet, there is difficulty in reconciling this requirement with the Commission's definition of "assigned" numbers and the treatment of pending orders.

Currently, pending numbers are permitted to be identified as assigned for only five days; 10 after this period, it appears these same numbers may be reserved for a maximum of 45

⁷ In this context, the Commission should take note of the Joint Reply Comments of the Texas Office of Public Counsel and the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) that the relationship between reserve numbers and numbering exhaust requires further study and that the record fails to establish that number reservations accelerate area code exhaust. *See*, Joint Reply Comments at page 10.

⁸ Report and Order, ¶ 244

⁹ Report and Order, ¶ 245.

¹⁰ Report and Order, ¶ 19.

additional days.¹¹ During this 50-day period,¹² telephone numbers related to pending service order requests are unavailable for assignment. After this period, the numbers are to be categorized as "available," even though service orders relating to these numbers are still pending. While within our Ameritech wireline company more than 85% of the service orders are completed within this 50-day period, the remaining orders are not completed within the time period for various customer and carrier reasons. These service order numbers cannot be assigned to new customers while the service order is pending.¹³ The result is that since numbers for pending service orders are deemed to be "available," a carrier may never meet the threshold required to open a new thousands-block; at any point in time a carrier may have as many as 10% of the numbers in a thousands-block designated to fulfill pending service orders.¹⁴

The Commission should recognize the realities of the marketplace and deem numbers being assigned pursuant to pending service orders as not available for purposes of this calculation. In other words, either the Commission should redefine numbers being held pending

¹¹ Report and Order, ¶ 23.

¹² SBC recognizes that the Report and Order's current differentiation between numbers held in pending status and reserve numbers necessitate that numbers held for pending service order completion must be reclassified as reserve numbers following the five-day limitation. For the reasons stated therein, SBC supports the position being taken by the United States Telephone Association in its Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification as to the modification of the definition of "pending numbers."

¹³ A subscriber must retain these numbers pending service order completion in order to arrange for the installation of telephone number-related equipment, the printing of business cards and stationary, the notification of supplies and customers, and other advance activities dependent upon the subscriber having numbers reserved for its use.

Moreover, there is no practical method for a carrier to track numbers in order to satisfy the Commission's "all or nothing" mandate. It is simply not possible for a large carrier to daily review the hundreds of thousands of orders pending and their current status to determine if all "available" numbers have been assigned within a given thousands-block.

service orders completion as falling within the "assigned" category until the order completes or the Commission should extend the reserve number definition as recommended by NANC.

III. The Commission must clarify that costs related to number pooling are includable under federal cost recovery.

In the Report and Order, the Commission concluded that thousands-block pooling is a numbering administrative function and the costs of such pooling are to be recovered through an exclusively federal recovery mechanism.¹⁵ Yet, the Commission has granted, and in the future will grant, numerous state commission the authority to conduct number pooling trials. In this context, individual state cost recovery schemes are to be transitioned to a federal cost recovery plan when it becomes effective.¹⁶

As discussed during SBC's ex parte meeting of May 31, 2000,¹⁷ there remain several open issues as to which costs are to be recovered under the federal cost recovery mechanism, rather than through the vehicle of state cost recovery. Given the possible discrepancy of the views of the states as to what costs might be considered recoverable as attributable to state pooling trials, SBC requests the Commission clarify that any unrecovered costs incurred as a result of state pooling trials are includable under the federal cost recovery mechanism.

In addition, the Commission should clarify that costs directly related to the implementation of NPAC 3.0 and Efficient Data Representation (EDR) are recoverable under federal cost recovery as costs directly attributable to national number pooling. As the

¹⁵ Report and Order, ¶¶ 195, 196.

¹⁶ Report and Order, ¶171.

See, letter to Ms. Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary of the Federal Communications Commission, from Fred Goodwin, Executive Director/Federal Regulatory of SBC, dated June 1,

Commission is aware, EDR gives carriers the capability to store one record to represent 1000 consecutive numbers. NPAC 3.0 is software specifically designed to be EDR-capable in order to store information in an EDR or non-EDR format. By early 2001, all of the NPAC regions will be using the NPAC 3.0 release. EDR is to be deployed to support the national roll out of number pooling in 2001. Neither of these two innovations would have been developed and implemented but for national number pooling. Even though these deployments will support state trials in the future, the reason for this development and implementation is the national pooling effort. Therefore, the costs directly attributable to these modifications should be properly recoverable under the federal cost recovery mechanism.

IV. Intermediate numbers should be included in the numerator and denominator in the calculation of utilization.

The Commission has determined that the utilization level in a specific geographic area is to be calculated by dividing all assigned numbers (numerator) by the total numbering resources assigned to the carrier in the appropriate geographic region (denominator) and multiplying the result by 100.¹⁸ The Commission stated that intermediate numbers should not be counted in the code or block holder's inventory because the code or block holder does not control the provisioning of these numbers to end users.¹⁹ Thus, it appears that intermediate numbers are excluded from the calculation of utilization.

2000 attaching a letter sent by Mr. Goodwin on that same date to L. Charles Keller, Chief of the Network Division of the Common Carrier Bureau.

¹⁸ Report and Order, ¶109.

¹⁹ Report and Order, ¶21.

It still remains unclear why these numbers should be designated under a separate category, rather than simply shown as "assigned numbers" since the underlying carrier has no ability to reclaim these numbers from another carrier or outside entity for its own use. Regardless, these numbers should appropriately be included as part of the numbering resources of the underlying carrier. These numbers are "assigned" for all practical purposes since, as recognized by the Commission, they are not within the carrier's control. Therefore, these numbers should be appropriately reflected in both the numerator and denominator of the utilization calculation. To exclude these numbers distorts the carrier's utilization by indicating a lower percentage than that which would result from the proper inclusion of these numbers in the calculation.

V. Official numbers should be appropriately categorized as assigned numbers.

In the Report and Order, the Commission expanded the definition of administrative numbers to include all numbers "used by carriers to perform internal administrative or operational functions necessary to maintain reasonable quality of service standards." This extremely broad definition fails to take into consideration the fact that the carrier does not have the discretion to assign these numbers to customers to address a numbering shortfall. Rather these numbers should be more appropriately categorized as assigned numbers. Although the percentage of official numbers is minimal in comparison with the carrier's total numbering resources, in certain select geographic areas these numbers comprise a significant percentage for purposes of utilization calculations. The carrier should not reasonably be expected to somehow divest itself of numbers assigned to its employees and necessary to its own operation, in order to

²⁰ Report and Order, ¶32.

obtain needed numbers for assignment to customers. Such an approach not only hinders a carrier's ability to operate efficiently, it acts to the detriment of customers. In addition to calling party confusion, there is also the question of aging. Moreover, the record fails to support the conclusion that these numbers are being misused by carriers to hoard numbers needed by the public.

VI. Additional clarification is required as to the Commission's three-prong test for state authorities to conduct number pooling trials.

SBC further asks the Commission eliminate any potential confusion with regard to the three-prong test adopted by the Commission in relation to the delegation of authority to the states to conduct number pooling trials. The Commission requires that a state petition demonstrate the following: (1) that an NPA in the state is in jeopardy; (2) that the NPA in question has a life span of at least one year and; (3) that the NPA is in one of the largest 100 MSAs or, alternatively, the majority of wireline carriers in the NPA are long term number portability (LNP) capable. With regard to the second factor, SBC requests the Commission clarify that the one year life span must be demonstrated through the use of actual demand/forecast data, rather than allocation or lottery plan data. Although the Public Notice²² specified that the NPA in question must have a remaining life span according to the most recent NANPA forecast, further clarification is still required. Unless the requirement for actual demand/forecast data is made clear, there is the potential that data produced could reflect means undertaken by the states or other parties to artificially extend the life of the NPA.

²¹ Report and Order, ¶170.

²² Public Notice, Common Carrier Bureau Reponses to Questions in the Numbering Resource Optimization Proceeding, CC Docket. No. 99-200, DA 00-1549, released July 11, 2000.

Conclusion

The Commission is faced with a dauntless task of establishing a national plan for the conservation of numbering resources. However, in adopting such a plan, it must also weigh the impact of these measures on customers. This impact is undeniably relevant in determining what is in the overall public interest and must be taken into consideration. The Commission's reconsideration and clarification of the issues specified above will strike a reasonable and warranted balance between customer needs and numbering resource optimization.

Respectfully Submitted,

SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

Hope Thurrott Roger K. Toppins

Alfred G. Richter

1401 I Street NW 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005

202-326-8891

Its Attorneys

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lacretia Hill, do hereby certify that on this 17th day of July, 2000, a copy of the foregoing "Petition" was served by U.S. first class mail, postage paid, to the parties listed on the attached sheets.

Lacretia Hill

La alelia H.

Service List 99-200

LAWERENCE MALONE
GENERAL COUNSEL
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF
NEW YORK
THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA
ALBANY, NY 12223-1350

JAMES S. BLASZAK
LEVIEN BLASAK BLOCK & BOOTHSBY LLP
COUNSEL FOR AD HOC TELECOMMUNCATIONS
USERS
COMMITTEE
2001 L STREET NW
SUITE 900
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

CHARLES D. COSSON AIRTOUCH COMMUNCATIONS ONE CALIFORNIA STREET 29TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 PEGGY ARVANITAS 620 BYPASS DRIVE CLEARWATER FL 33764

KATHRYN MARIE KRAUSE ROBERT MCKENNA JAMES T. HANNON DAN L. POOLE US WEST COMMUNCATIONS, INC 1020 19TH STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

JOHNATHAN ASKIN ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL TELECOMMUNCATIONS SERVICES 888 17TH STREET NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON,DC 20006

W. MARK ADAMS ESQ.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
NATIONAL EMERGENCY NUMBER ASSOCIATION
491 CHESHIRE ROAD
SUNBURY, OH 43074

MARK C. ROSENBLUM ROY E. HOFFINGER JAMES H. BOLIN JR. AT&T CORPORATION 295 NORTH MAPLE AVE ROOM 1130M1 BASKING RIDGE, NJ 07920 JOHNATHAN CHAMBERS VICE PRESIDENT-FEDERAL REGULATORY AFFAIRS SPRINT CORPORATION 1801 K STREET NW SUITE M112 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 JOHN M. GOODMAN BELL ATLANTIC 1300 I STREET NW SUITE 400 WEST WASHINGTON, DC 20005

M. ROBERT SUTHERLAND THEODORE R. KINGSLEY BELL SOUTH CORPORATION 1155 PEACHTREET STREET NE SUITE 1700 ATLANTA, GA 30309-3610 HUGH BURROWS BURROWS RESEARCH GROUP PO BOX 5000 LANARK ONTARIO CANADA K0G1K0

DAVID ELLEN CABLE VISION LIGHTPATH INC 111 STEWART AVE BETHPAGE NY 11714 PETER ARTH JR LIONEL WILSON HELEN M. MICKIEWICZ STATE OF CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISO, CA 94102

WILLIAM CAMPBELL STATE ASSEMBLYMAN PO BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0001 DOUGLAS CARSON PO BOX 12574 BERKELEY, CA 94712 RANDALL COLEMAN
LOLITA D. SMITH
CELLULAR TELECOMMUNCATIONS INDUSTRY
ASSOCIATION
1250 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

CHERIE R. KISER
GIL M. STROBLE
FRANK W. LLOYD
DONNA N. LAMPERT
HOWARD J SYMONS
SARA F. SEIDMAN
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, PC
701 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 900
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

DAVID L. MEIER CINCINNATI BELL TELPHONE COMPANY 201 E FOURTH STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45201-2301 ROBERT KELTER
J SEAMUS GYNN
CITIZENS UTILITY BOARD OF ILLINOIS
208 S. LASALLE, SUITE 1760
CHICAGO, IL 60604

MARSHA N COHEN 2201 LYON STREET SAN FRANCISO, CA 94115 LARRY BLOSSER KEMAL HAWA SWINDLER, BERLIN, SHEREFF, FRIEDMAN LLP COUNSEL FOR CONNECT COMMUNCIATIONS 3000 K STREET SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007

REBECCA M. QUINTANA CHAIR COLORADO NUMBERING TASK FORCE 1580 LOGAN STREET DENVER, CO 80203 RAYMOND GIFFORD COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1580 LOGAN STREET SUITE 740 DENVER, CO 80203 LOUISE RICKARD
ACTING EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
STATE OF CONNECITCUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CONTROL
10 FRANKLIN SQUARE
NEW BRITIAN, CT 06051

JG HARRINGTON
DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON PLLC
COUNSEL FOR COX COMMUNICATIONS INC
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE NW
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

RICHARD EYRE PO BOX 2408 TEMPE, AZ 85280-2480 CYNITHIA B. MILLER FLORIDA PUBLIC SERIVCE COMMISSION 2540 SHUMARD OAK BLVD TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

RICHARD METZGER
MATTHEW H. BERNS
FOCAL COMMUNCAITONS CORPORATION
200 NORTH LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60601

ANDRE J. LACHANCE GTE SERVICE CORPOARATION 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ILLNOIS CHAPTER OF NATIONAL ENERGY NUMBER ASSOCATION LOVES PARK 9-1-1 LOVES PARK DRIVE LOVES PARK, IL 61111

CAROL SALVA 632 14TH STREET SANTA MONICA, CA 904020 DANA FRIX SWINDLER, BERLIN SHREFF FRIEDMAN LLP COUNSEL FOR CHOICE ONE COMMUNICATIONS INC AND GST TELECOMMUNCAITONS 3000 K STREET NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007 PAUL GLIST
COLE RYWID & BRAVERMAN
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 200
COUNSEL FOR CENTURY COMMUNCATIONS CORP AND
CENTENNIAL CELLULAR
WASHINGTON,DC 20007

SUSAM W. SMITH DIRECTOR-EXTERNAL AFFIARS CENTURYTEL WIRELESS INC 3505 SUMMERHILL RAOD NO 4 SUMMER PLACE TEXARKANA, TX 75501

MARK J. BURZYCH ATTORNEY FOR THUMB CELLULAR LIMITED PARTNERSHIP FOSTER SWIFT COLLINS & SMITH PC 313 SOUTH WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MI 48933-2193

KENNETH E. HARDMAN ATTORNEY FOR TRILLIUM CELLULAR CORP MOIR AND HARDMAN 1828 L STREET NW SUITE 901 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5104 MELISSA CARO ALBERTO LEVY PHD TEXAS OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 9-180 PO BOX 12397 AUSTIN, TX 78711-2397

RUSELL M. BLAU
MICHAEL ROMANO
JEANNE STOCKMAN
SWINDLER BERLINE SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP
COUNSEL FOR RCN TELECOM SERVICES
3000 K STREET SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

MICHAEL R. ROMANO SWIDLER, BERLIN SHEREFF, FRIEDMAN, LLP COUNSEL FOR LEVEL 3 COMMUNCATIONS 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007 EDWARD A. YORKITIS, JR KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP ATTORNEYS FOR LIBERTY COMMUNCATIONS 1200 19TH STREET SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 TRINA M. BRAGDON
MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
242 STATE STREET
18 STATE HOUSE STATION
AUGUSTA, ME 04333-0018

DOUGLAS PRICHARD CITY MANAGER ROLLING HILLS ESTATES 4045 PALOS VERDES DRIVE NORTH ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, CA 90274 THE HONORABLE JANET GAIL BESSER
CHAIR
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTES DEPARTMENT O
TELECOMMUNCATIONS AND ENERGY
ONE SOUTH STATION
2ND FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02110

MARY DE LUCA ANNA F LA LENA MCI WORLD COMM INC 1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 SUSAN M. EID RICHARD A. KARRE MEDIAONE GROUP INC 1919 PENSYLVANIA AVE NW, SUITE 610 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

ANN SEHA
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
MANAGER, PUBLIC UTILITES DIVISION
121 7TH PLACE EAST, SUITE 350
ST. PAUL, MN 55101

WILLIAM K. HAAS DAN JOYCE MISSOUR PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION ROOM 750 301 WEST HIGH STREET JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 DR. H. GILBERT MILLER
VICE PRESIDENT
MITRETEK SYSTEMS INC
CENTER FOR TELELCOMMUNCAITONS AND
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY
7525 COLSHIRE DRIVE
MCLEAN, VA 22102

DON WOODFORD MOBILITY CANADA 1420 BLAIR PLACE, SUITE 700 GLOUCESTER ONTARIO K1J 9L8

CAROL ANN BISCHOFF
ROBER MCDOWELL
COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNCATIONS
ASSOCIAITON
1900 M STREET NW SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY
NATIONAL ASOCIATION OF REGULATORY
UTILITY COMMISSIONERS
1101 VERMONT AVE NW SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

JOHN F. RAPOSA GTE SERVICE CORPORTATION 600 HIDDEN RIDGE, HQE03J27 PO BOX 152092 IRVING, TX 75015-2092 JEFFERY S. LINDER
WILEY REIN & FIELDING
ATTORNEY FOR GTE SERVICE CORPORATION
1776 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

TINA S. PYLE RICHARD A. KARRE MEDIAONE GROUP, INC 1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 610 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

BILL HINKLE MARK ADAMS ESQ NATIONAL EMERGENCY NUMBER ASSOCIATION 491 CHESHIRE ROAD SUBURY, OH 43074 RICHARD A. ASKOFF REGINA MCNEIL NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCAITON INC 100 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD WHIPPANY NJ 07981 NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION L. MARIE GUILLORY JAN CANFIELD 4121 WILSON BOULEVARD TENTH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203-1801

E BARCLAY JACKSON NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITEIS COMISSION 8 OLD SUN COOK ROAD CONCORD, NH 03301 JOHN J. FARMER JR NEW JERSEY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES DIVISION OF LAW 124 HALSEY STREET 5TH FLOOR PO BOX 45029 NEWARK, NJ 07101

CHERYL L. CALLAHAN
ASSISTANT COUNSEL
NEW YOURK STATE PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION
THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA
ALBANY, NY 12223-1350

DAVID L. MARTIN
DOW LOHNES & ALBERTSON PLLC
COUNSEL FOR NEXTEL COMMUNCIATIONS INC
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE NW SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ROBERT FOOSANER LAWRENCE R. KREVOR LAURA L. HOLLOWAY NEXTEL COMMUNCATIONS, INC 2001 EDMUND HALLEY DRIVE RESTON, VA 20191

DANIEL GONZALEZ
JASON WILLIAMS
NEXTLINK COMMUNCATIONS INC
1730 RHODE ISLAND AVE NW
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

JANE WHANG
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
COUNSEL FOR NEXTLINK COMMUNCATIONS
1155 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

RONALD R. CONNERS NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING PLAN ADMINISTRATOR 1133 15TH STREET NW 12TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PROFESSOR BILL NIELL PO BOX 33666 SAN DIEGO, CA 92163-3666 LES SELZER COMMON CARRIER BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNCAITONS BUREAU THE PORTALS 445 12TH STREET SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554

JOHN T. SCOTT, III
VICE-PRESIDENT AND DEPUTY GENERAL
COUNSEL
VERIZON WIRELESS
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-

RONALD BINZ
CO-CHAIRMAN
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING COUNCIL
COMPLETTION POLICY INSTITUTE
3773 CHERRY CREEK NORTH DRIVE
SUITE 1050
DENVER, CO 80209

ANTOINETTE R. WIKE CHIEF COUNSEL NORTH CAROLINA PUBLIC STATFF UTILITES COMMISSION PO BOX 29520 RALEIGH, NC 27626-0520 MARK J TAUBER
MARK J O'CONNER
OMNIPOINT CORPORATION
PIPER & MARBURY LLP
1200 19TH STREET NW 7TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

JUDITH ST. LEGER-ROTY MICHAEL B. HAZZARD KELLY DRYE & WARREN, LLP PAGING NETWORK, INC 1200 19TH STREET NW 5TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036

DAVID E. SCREVEM FRANK B. WILMARTH PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION PO BOX 3265 HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

JOEL HI. CHESKIS
PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF CONSUMER
ADVOCATE
555 WALNUT STREET 5TH FLOOR FORUM PLACE
HARRISBURG, PA 17101

MERY MC DERMOTT ROBERT L. HOGGARTH PERSONAL COMMUNCATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION 500 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 700 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DENNIS THRO 1226 TRINITY CHRUCH ROAD WRIGHTSBILLE, PA 17368 CRAIG A. GLAZER, CHAIRMAN PUBLIC COMMISSION OF OHION 180 E. BROAD STREET COLUMBUS, OH 43215

MELISSA CARA
ALBERTO LEVY Ph.D.
TEXAS OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE
SUITE 9-180
PO BOX 12397
AUSTIN,TX 78711-2397

TERESA K. GAUGLER
JANE KUKA
QWEST COMMUNCIATIONS CORPORATION
4250 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

RUSSELL BLAU
MICHAEL R. ROMANO
JEANNE W. STOCKMAN
SWIDLER, BERLIN, SHEREFF, FRIEDMAN LLP
COUNSEL FOR MFS COMMUNCATIONS GROUP
INC. & RCN TELECOM SERVICES INC
3000 K STREET NW 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

RICHARD EYRE REC NETWORKS PO BOX 2408 TEMPE, AZ 8520-2408

WILLIAM P. HUNT III LEVEL 3 COMMUCATIONS INC 1450 INFINITE DRIVE LOUIISVILLE, CO 80027 DAWN HUNT ROGERS CANTEL INC 33 BLOOR STREET EAST TORONTO ONTARIO M4W 1G9 CANADA

THERESA FENELON FALK
PILLSBURY, MADISON & SUTRO LLP
COUNSEL FOR SACO RIVER TELEGRAPH AND
TELEPHONE COMPANY
1100 NEW YORK AVE NW
NINTH FLOOR
EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

CARL K. OSHIRO
COUNSEL FOR SMALL BUSINESS ALLIANCE FOR FAIR UTIL
REGULATION
100 FIRST STREET SITE 2540
SAN FRANCISO, CA 94105

JAMES J. MC CULLOUGH
DENEEN J. MELANDER
ANDREW D. SKOWRONEK
FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON
COUNSEL FOR TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES
INC.
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2505

JAY C. KEITHLEY SPRINT CORPORATION 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1110 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5807 MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN 15 SPENCER AVENUE SOMERVILLE,MA 02144 RICHARD A. MUSCAT
COUNSEL FOR TEXAS ADVISORY COMMISSION
STATE
EMERGENCY
COMMUNCATIONS
THE GONZA'LEZ LAW FIRM
ONE WESTLAKE PLAZA
1705 SOUTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY
SUITE 100
AUSTIN, TX 78746

BRIAN CONROY
THOMAS A. JONES
DAIVD DON
WILLKIE, FARR & GALLAGHER
COUNSEL FOR TIME WARNER TELECOM
HOLDING INC d/b/a TIME WARNER TELECOM
THREE LAFAYEET CENTER
1155 21ST STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

MELLISA CARO ALBERTO LEVY Ph.D. TEXAS OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVE SUITE 9-180 P.O. BOX 12397 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2397

LAWRENCE E. SARJEANT
LINDA L. KENT
KEITH TOWNSEND
JULIE L. RONES
UNITED STATES TELECOM ASSOCIATION
1401 H STREET, NW
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

KATHARYN MARIE KRAUSE ROBERT B. MCKENNA DAN L. POOLE US WEST COMMUNCAITONS, INC 1020 19TH STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

BRIAN O' CONNOR VOICESTRAM WIRELESS CORPORATION 1300 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 ROBERT W. MCAUSLAND ALLEGIANCE TELECOMM INC. 1950 STEMMONS FREEWAY SUITE 3026 DALLAS, TX 75207 CLAY BAILEY CENTURYTEL INC 100 CENTURY PARK DRIVE MONROE, LA 71203 LARRY A. BLOSSER ESQ KEMAL HAWA ESQ SWINDLER, BERLIN, SHEREFF, FRIEDMAN, LLP COUNSEL FOR CONNECT COMMUCAITONS CORPORATION 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007

WILLIAM IRBY DIRECTOR VIRGINIA STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION BOX 1197 RICHMOND, VA 23218

RICHARD LEVINE BETA SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY INC PO BOXD 836224 RICHARDSON, TX 75083-6224

RICHARD A. DIVINE
DAVID L. HEATON
COOK COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY OFFICE
ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY DIVISION
69 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60602

LAURENCE E. HARRIS DAVID S. TURETSK TERRI B. NATOLI TELIGENT INC 8065 LEESBURG PIKE VIENNA, VA 22182

JOHN MCHUGH STUART POLIKOFF ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELECOMMUNCATIONS COMPANIES 21 DUPONT CIRCLE SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 JEANNIE GRIMES
SENIOR PARALEGAL SPECIALIST
ALTERNATE DFO
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING COUNCIL
FEDERAL COMMUNCATIONS COMMISSION
445 12TH STREET SW
WASHINGTON,DC 20554

L. CHARLES KELLER DIVISION CHIEF COMMON CARRIER BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNCATIONS COMMISSION 445 12TH STREET SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554 YOG VARMA
DEPUTY CHIEF
COMMON CARRIER BUREAU
FEDERAL COMMUNCIATIONS COMMISSION
445 12TH STREET SW
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

CHERYL CALLAHAN
ATTORNEY ADVISOR
DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICER
NORTH AMERICAN NUMBERING COUNCIL
FEDERAL COMMUNCAITONS COMMISSION
445 12TH STREET SW
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

AARON GOLDBERGER
ATTORNEY ADVISOR
COMMON CARRIER BUREAU
FEDERAL COMMUNCATIONS COMMISSION
445 12TH STREET SW, SUITE 6-A207
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

DIANE GRIFFIN HARMON DEPUTY CHIEF, NETWORK SERVICES DIVISION, COMMON CARRIER BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNCATIONS COMMISSION 445 12TH STREET SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT KEATING, COMM.
COMMONWEATH OF MASSACHUESETTES
DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUCATIONS AND ENERGY
100 CAMBRIDGE STREET, 12TH FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02202

THE HONORABLE JANET GAIL BESSER, CHAIR COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUETTES DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUCATIONS AND ENERGY
100 CAMBRIDGE STREET 12TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02202

THE HONORABLE JAMES CONNELLY COMMISSIONER COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELELCOMMUNCAITONS AND ENERGY 100 CAMBRIDGE STREET 12TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02202

MISSOURI OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL PO BOX 7800 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL C/O FLORDIA LEGISLATURE 111 WEST MADISON STREET #812 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILTIY CONSUMER COUNSEL 100 N. SENATE AVENUE ROOM N501 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2494

MARYLAND OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL 6 ST PAUL STREET SUITE 2102 BALTIMORE, MD 21202

THE OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S COUNSEL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
1133 15TH STREET NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4202 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVE SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISO,CA 94102 MAINE PUBLIC ADVOCATE STATE HOUSE STATION 112 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 BENJAMIN H. DICKENS JR
MIDVALE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE INC
NORTHEAST LOUISANA TELEPHONE
INTERSTATE TELECOMMUNCATIONS CORP.
RADIO PAGING SERVICE
2120 I STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

JAMES TROUP IOWA TELECOMMUNCATIONS SERVICES ARTER & HADDEN LLP 1800 K STREET NW SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

TEYA M. PENNIMAN OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION 550 CAPITOL STREET NE SALEM, OR 97310-1380 JOHN KUYKEDALL KRANSIKIN, LESSE & COSSON, LLP RURAL INDEPENDENT COMPETITIVE ALLIANCE 2120 L STREET NW SUITE 520 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

GENERAL SERVICE ADMINSTRATION 1800 F STREET NW RM 4002 WASHINGTON, DC 20405 JOHNATHAN E. CANIS
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
ATTORNEYS FOR 2ND CENTURY COMMUNCAITONS
1200 19TH STREET NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

BARRY PAYNE SENIOR ECONONIST FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 445 12TH STREET SW WASHINGTON, DC 20554